

Advances in the development of the ESS-Bilbao proton injector



Z. Izaola, I. Bustinduy, et. al. ESS-Bilbao July 5, 2016

What is ESS-Bilbao?

1. MEBT

2. RF Chain











ESS-Bilbao's RFQ



4. Neutron Instruments



Advances in the ESS-Bilbao proton injector

Z. Izaola, I. Bustinduy, et. al.

EURCPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE

2 / 23

The ESS-Bilbao Injector



The ISHP H^+ ECR Ion Source



Advances in the ESS-Bilbao proton injector

The ISHP H^+ ECR Ion Source



The ISHP H^+ ECR Ion Source



The LEBT, complete with 2 solenoids and 3 boxes



The design of the RFQ was finished July 2015



The first section of the RFQ is in production (July 2016)



The first section of the RFQ is in production (July 2016)



The RFQ should be built for late 2017

- From 45 keV to 3 MeV
- Total length of 3.12 m (4 segments)
- Uniform 85 kV inter-vane voltage
- First segment in fabrication
- Planned for late 2017

The LEBT: Comissionng stage #1 with one solenoid



The LEBT: Comissionng stage #1 with one solenoid



Beam Induced Fluorescence beam width is similar to WS





WS measures in 45°!



Solenoid raises transmission above $85\,\%$



 $B(\mathsf{T}) = 0.0013 \times I(\mathsf{A})$

Z. Izaola, I. Bustinduy, et. al.

We measure more than 100 profiles



Beam profiles at WS1 not Gaussian





Fringe field has more effect in "horizontal" wire



Best transmission is between 8.5 mm and 9.5 mm gap



Fridge field focus beam, reduces background



Beam profiles WS2 at 175 A show more than a peak





Measure 2D profiles for different plasma parameters





We find different "families"

| Family | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| H_2 (%) flow | 42 | 42 | 42 | 23 | 44 |
| Coil#1 (A) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Coil#2 (A) | 5.1 | 2 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 7.1 |
| Coil#3 (A) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7.5 |
| Coil#4 (A) | 3.5 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.4 |

Photos similar to plasma distribution found in similar IS



Contributions to ECR Plasma Source Dynamics: Diagnostics Development and Experimental Results. Ana María Megía Macías. PhD. Thesis 2014

Advances in the ESS-Bilbao proton injector

Z. Izaola, I. Bustinduy, et. al.

17 / 23

Beam profiles WS2 at 175 A show more than a peak





Different ion specimens present in the beam



Integrated profiles allow calculate peak position



Different peak position and composition appear



Simulation allow to identify some peaks

| | Position [mm] | | | Composition (%) | | | |
|------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|------------|--|
| Peak | #1 | #2 | #3 | #1 | #2 | #3 | |
| | H^+ | H_2^+ | ${ m H}_{3}^{+}(?)$ | H^+ | H_2^+ | $H_3^+(?)$ | |
| Family5 | 30.2 | 18.1 | 1.4 | 64 | 23 | 13 | |
| Family7 | 32.1 | 22.4 | 1.3 | 24 | 67 | 9 | |
| Simulation | 30.9 | 21.4 | 17.1 | | | | |

Peak #2 mix of H^+ and H_2^+ and peak #3 contamination?



Conclusions

- The effect of the plasma parameters on the extracted beam profiles is greater than we expected, if compared to the effect of the acceleration gap in these profile.
- Different ion source configurations show unlike profiles, not only in the plasma but also in the extracted beam, that are far from being "ideal Gaussian beam."

Future Work

- Improve H^+ proportion



Future Work

- Improve H^+ proportion
- Measure emittance



Future Work

- Improve H⁺ proportion
- Measure emittance
- "Map" of emittance at RFQ entrance for solenoid configurations



Advances in the ESS-Bilbao proton injector

Acknowledgement

Thanks to:

- I. Bustinduy
- J. Corres
- D. de Cos
- C. de la Cruz
- G. Harper
- R. Miracoli
- J. L. Muñoz
- I. Rueda
- A. Vizcaíno
- A. Zugazaga

To all the staff at ESS-Bilbao that works in the design, building and running of the injector.