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The Linac4 commissioning is performed in several stages.

This talk will focus on the **indirect emittance measurement methods in the presence of space charge** and their applications during the 50 and 100 MeV beam commissioning.
Indirect measurement of transverse emittance using measured beam profiles at three secondary electron emission (SEM) grids.

Indirect measurement of longitudinal emittance using measured beam profiles at bunch shape monitor (BSM).

**Space-charge must to be taken into account!**
INDIRECT EMITTANCE MEASUREMENT, 3 MONITOR METHOD

Beam profile

Rms ellipse
INDIRECT EMITTANCE MEASUREMENT, 3 MONITOR METHOD

- Quadrupole magnet(s)
- Profile measurement (e.g. SEM grid)
- Beam path

Rms ellipse

Beam profile
3 Monitor Method, Space-Charge Effects Are Ignored

\[ \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ x'_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}(3)_{11} & \mathcal{M}(3)_{12} \\ \mathcal{M}(3)_{21} & \mathcal{M}(3)_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ x'_i \end{bmatrix} \]

Transfer matrix from well known beam line

Profile measurement (e.g. SEM grid)

Beam path

Information we get from the measured \text{rms} beam size!!!
3 MONITOR METHOD, SPACE-CHARGE EFFECTS ARE IGNORED

Where do they come from in the initial phase space?

\[ x_{rms} = M(3)_{11} x_i + M(3)_{12} x'_i \]
\[ -x_{rms} = M(3)_{11} x_i + M(3)_{12} x'_i \]

Transfer matrix from well known beam line

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_3 \\
    x'_3
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
    M(3)_{11} & M(3)_{12} \\
    M(3)_{21} & M(3)_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    x_i \\
    x'_i
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Information we get from the measured \text{rms} beam size!!!
3 MONITOR METHOD, SPACE-CHARGE EFFECTS ARE IGNORED

Linear mapping of the measured rms beam size onto the initial phase space.

Initial phase space
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Linear mapping of the measured rms beam size onto the initial phase space.
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Initial phase space

---

Linear mapping of the measured rms beam size onto the initial phase space.
3 MONITOR METHOD, SPACE-CHARGE EFFECTS ARE IGNORED

Linear mapping of the measured rms beam size onto the initial phase space.

Initial phase space
One can linearly map the measured profiles onto the initial phase space and use tomography to reconstruct the distribution of particle density in a phase space.
What about space charge?

In the transverse planes, in case of space-charge, linear mapping is not possible!

From the entrance of the bench to SEM-3 no space-charge

From the entrance of the bench to SEM-3 with space-charge

Through the DTL tank3 no space-charge

In the transverse planes, in case of space-charge, linear mapping is not possible!

In the longitudinal plane the situation is even more complex.
Including the effects of space charge

We can extend the classical methods by combining them with multi-particle tracking including space-charge effects.

For the indirect emittance measurements in the presence of space charge, two methods were developed, tested and applied during the Linac4 commissioning

- **The “Forward Method”**
  - Takes measured rms beam sizes as input.
  - Estimates rms emittance, alpha and beta.
  - Simpler but still very powerful.

- **Hybrid phase space tomography**
  - Takes measured beam profiles as input.
  - More sophisticated, estimates phase space density.

Both methods use multi-particle tracking including space-charge!
The Forward Method

- For accurate space charge calculations, initial description of the particle distribution in all phase spaces is important.

• Modify emittance, alpha, beta
• Simulate (with space-charge) to the measurement locations with the optics used while taking the measurements.
• Compare the simulated rms beam sizes with the measured ones.
• Repeat the process iteratively until simulated rms beam sizes converge to the measured ones.

3 horizontal beam size from 3 monitors
3 vertical beam size from 3 monitors

Hybrid phase-space tomography combines multi-particle tracking (including space-charge) and tomography.

- **Travel** is used for the multi-particle tracking.
- Travel can give initial coordinates of any selected particles along the beam line. Simplifies mapping even in the case of nonlinear mapping.

From the entrance of the bench to SEM-3 with space-charge

Transverse
HYBRID PHASE SPACE TOMOGRAPHY

- Simulate the initial test beam by including space-charge.
- Find which particles fall on which wires.
- Deduce the new distribution of density in the phase space.
- Generate a new beam distribution and use it for the next iteration.

Binned measurement data from 3 monitors

Simulate with space-charge
TESTING THE METHOD

• A reference beam (50MeV H-beam with 20mA beam current) is tracked to the profile monitors with two different optics settings to simulate measurements (one for horizontal one for vertical measurements).

• The beam profiles are saved and given as input to the hybrid phase space tomography routine.

• A beam with uniform distribution and big horizontal and vertical emittance was used as a test beam.

• Longitudinal distribution of the test beam is identical to that of the reference beam.
EVOLUTION OF THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL PHASE SPACES

Initial test beam

2\textsuperscript{nd} iteration

5\textsuperscript{th} iteration

10\textsuperscript{th} iteration
AN EXAMPLE OF MEASURED AND SIMULATED PROFILE

Horizontal profile at the 3\textsuperscript{rd} SEM grid

After the 1\textsuperscript{st} iteration

After the 3\textsuperscript{rd} iteration

After the 6\textsuperscript{th} iteration

After the 16\textsuperscript{th} iteration

Discrepancy: integral of absolute difference
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TESTING THE HYBRID PHASE SPACE TOMOGRAPHY

With 50 Mev 20 mA H- beam

Transverse phase space plots of the reference beam (top row) and the reconstructed beam (bottom row).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Reconstructed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_x ) (rms)</td>
<td>0.39 ( \pi ).mm.mrad</td>
<td>0.40 ( \pi ).mm.mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_x )</td>
<td>-3.47</td>
<td>-3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta_x )</td>
<td>2.86 mm/( \pi ).mrad</td>
<td>3.14 mm/( \pi ).mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_y ) (rms)</td>
<td>0.35 ( \pi ).mm.mrad</td>
<td>0.36 ( \pi ).mm.mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_y )</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta_y )</td>
<td>1.28 mm/( \pi ).mrad</td>
<td>1.39 mm/( \pi ).mrad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twiss parameters (emittance is normalized) of the reference and reconstructed beams

Each phase space is reconstructed using 3 profiles. More profiles will improve the reconstruction.
Both forward method and hybrid phase space tomography can be applied to the longitudinal phase space reconstruction where the phase and/or amplitude of an RF cavity is varied and the phase or momentum profile is measured downstream. In the case of Linac4, phase spread was measured by a BSM.
Forward method

\[ \varepsilon_x \text{(rms)} = 0.32 \, \text{π.mm.mrad} \]
\[ \varepsilon_y \text{(rms)} = 0.36 \, \text{π.mm.mrad} \]

Hybrid phase space tomography

\[ \varepsilon_x \text{(rms)} = 0.33 \, \text{π.mm.mrad} \]
\[ \varepsilon_y \text{(rms)} = 0.32 \, \text{π.mm.mrad} \]
Comparison of phase space plots of the expected beam (grayscale) and measured at 50 MeV (colour scale) after the DTL.
DTL tank 3 RF phase was varied and the phase profile of the beam was measured at the BSM on the diagnostic bench.

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{rms}} = 0.29 \, \pi \, \text{deg} \, \text{MeV} \]

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{rms}} = 0.33 \, \pi \, \text{deg} \, \text{MeV} \]
Comparison of the phase space plots of the measured (grayscale) and expected (colour scale) beams at the entrance of the DTL tank 3 at 30 MeV.
Comparison of the phase space plots of the expected (grayscale) and measured (colour scale) beams at 50 MeV.

Measurements with hybrid phase space tomography.
Comparison of the phase space plots of the expected (grayscale) and measured (colour scale) beams at 80 MeV.

Measurements with hybrid phase space tomography.
Comparison of the two measurements

Backtrack 50 MeV measurement

~27m beam line

Forward track to compare with the 80 MeV measurement

Parameter | 50 MeV tracked to 80 MeV | Measured at 80 MeV
---|---|---
$\varepsilon_x$ (rms) | 0.22 $\pi$.mm.mrad | 0.23 $\pi$.mm.mrad
$\alpha_x$ | -4.5 | -4.6
$\beta_x$ | 10.7 mm/$\pi$.mrad | 10.6 mm/$\pi$.mrad
$\varepsilon_y$ (rms) | 0.26 $\pi$.mm.mrad | 0.27 $\pi$.mm.mrad
$\alpha_y$ | -0.49 | -0.19
$\beta_y$ | 1.03 mm/$\pi$.mrad | 1.02 mm/$\pi$.mrad

Twiss parameters (emittance is normalized) of the beams measured at 80 MeV and measured at 50 MeV then tracked to 80 MeV.

Comparison of the phase space plots of the beams measured at 80 MeV (grayscale) and measured at 50 MeV then tracked to 80 MeV (colour scale).
CONCLUSION

• The “forward method” and the “hybrid phase space tomography” were developed, validated and successfully applied during the Linac4 commissioning.

• The methods allow indirect measurement of the transverse and longitudinal emittance based on profile measurements in the presence of space charge.

• Both methods give consistent results with each other for the prediction of the rms ellipse parameters.

• Moreover, the hybrid phase space tomography allows reconstruction of the phase space density.

• Both methods will be used during the beam commissioning at 160 MeV and permanently during the operation of Linac4 at the end of the linac, as well as at the PS Booster injection.
• Comparison of measured and expected beam distributions along the linac helped us validate the settings and the correct operation of the linac.

• Measurement of emittance at each commissioning stage made our job easier during the next one and saved us time!

• Provided that the permanent profile monitors are enough and at the correct locations, these methods can also be used during operation without changing the optics.
• Comparison of measured and expected beam distributions along the linac helped us validate the settings and the correct operation of the linac.

• Measurement of emittance at each commissioning stage made our job easier during the next one and saved us time!

• Provided that the permanent profile monitors are enough and at the correct locations, these methods can also be used during operation without changing the optics.

THANK YOU!